



Study board conclusions of the semester and teaching evaluation report

Year:	2021
Campus	Copenhagen
Semester	MED8C
Coordinator:	Henrik Schønau Fogh
Date:	November 2021

This document represents the public version of the evaluation of the semester. The document forms together with the semester and teaching evaluation report the basis of any adjustments to the semester's description, planning, execution and/or evaluation of the next iteration of the semester and are used by the coming semester coordinator and semester planning group.

The document also represents the study board's response to the students, teachers, coordinators and other interested parties about possible consequences of conditions that the students of the semester have pointed out.

The public conclusions and recommendations of the semester coordinator

Overall, the semester project work and courses went well, despite the Covid_19 lockdown. However students had several recommendations for changes before the next iteration of the semester:

- 1) It was suggested; to have a full-length report on 7th semester and a scientific paper on 8th semester due to heavy workload and many mini projects on MED8
- 2) Another suggestion was to plan more course lectures at the beginning of the semester and less in the end
- 3) Students agreed that there were far too many mini projects on this semester. It was tough at the same time as they were supposed to prepare for next semester's internship
- 4) Students hoped that in the future it would be possible to spread the electives on MED7 more out on the semesters
- 5) The information meeting on MED9 possibilities had been in the middle of March, which was too late as the deadline for applying to other universities was on 1 March. It was suggested that the MED9 intro should be already in January/Early February
- 6) Recommendation: In the next iteration of the study plans the read thread of the programming courses should be strengthened, - based on the experience with the ADSSE course

Concerning the online evaluation surveys, there were only 4 students who answered. So once more it was not possible to get enough feedback, In any case, the limited feedback from the survey for the courses were mainly on the positive (green/yellow) side. Overall, the semester got medium feedback, which was most likely due to a high workload and the Covid_19 lock-down.

Grade-wise there was a tendency for the project grades to be high as 11 students out of 35 got 12 at the project exam. However, at the courses, there was a more varied spread.

Despite the challenges, several students praised course lecturers, teaching assistants and supervisors for adapting the lectures and activities to the Covid_19 situation even though the semester was not ideal due to the Lockdown.

Conclusion of the study board



The Study Board (MSN) has processed the semester evaluation report and offers the following observations/conclusions:

- Student survey response rate: 3/24 replied. The response rate is way too low. Overall, the semester got medium feedback, which was most likely due to a high workload and the Covid-19 lockdown. The limited feedback from the survey for the courses were mainly on the positive side. The coordinator of the subsequent semester needs to bring this up at semester start
- The semester ran well despite lockdown. Several students praised course lecturers, teaching assistants and supervisors for adapting the lectures and activities to the Covid-19 situation
- All courses were taught online. As mentioned before ran well
- The students suggest having a full-length report on MED7 and a scientific paper on MED8 due to heavy workload and many mini projects on MED8. The coordinators from AAL and CPH should perhaps communicate before semester start
- The students propose to have more lectures in the beginning of the semester and less in the end
- Too many mini projects at the same time as preparation for MED9 POSEO should take place
- The information meeting regarding MED9 was held too late (March). Suggests that the intro should be end of January or early February
- Recommendation: In the next iteration of the study plan the read thread of the programming courses should be strengthened, based on the experience with the ADSSE course
- Grade-wise there was a tendency for the project grades to be high as 11 students out of 35 got a 12 at the project exam. However, the course grades were more varied spread