

### Study board conclusions of the semester and teaching evaluation report

|              |                |
|--------------|----------------|
| Year:        | 2019           |
| Campus       | Aalborg        |
| Semester     | MED7           |
| Coordinator: | David Meredith |
| Date:        | May 20, 2020   |

This document represents the public version of the evaluation of the semester. The document forms together with the semester and teaching evaluation report the basis of any adjustments to the semester's description, planning, execution and/or evaluation of the next iteration of the semester and are used by the coming semester coordinator and semester planning group.

The document also represents the study board's response to the students, teachers, coordinators and other interested parties about possible consequences of conditions that the students of the semester have pointed out.

### The public conclusions and recommendations of the semester coordinator

- General semester start meeting should be scrapped as it serves no purpose. If it is continued, then it needs to be scheduled so that it does not disrupt start-up meetings
- Need more project proposals on graphics
- Project proposals at start-up meeting need to be presented by supervisors themselves
- Scheduling needs to be done carefully. This is a difficult semester to schedule because several courses are taken by students on different programs
- Project module seems to have gone generally well
- Machine learning for media technology course went well, though exam failure rate was high
- Multimodal perception and cognition course went badly. We need to find someone else to take this course next year
- User experience design course went well
- Advanced AV course went well, but we should purchase equipment in Aalborg to support students on this course and lecturer needs to think about how to most effectively use the face-to-face time that spends with the Aalborg students
- Foundations in Medialogy course seems to have gone OK, but there is very little feedback
- Prototyping and fabrication course went well
- Scientific communication course went ok but scheduling needs to be done more carefully next year

### Conclusion of the study board

The Study Board (MSN) has processed the semester evaluation report and offers the following observations/conclusions:

- Student survey response rate: 21/35 – quite impressive; MSN is very grateful for quality feedback from the semester and the surveys are a major part of that
- MSN is highly concerned with noting the very negative evaluation of the Multi Modal Perception course. MSN has informed the department of this and we have been assured that step have been taken to make sure next year's installment of the course will systematically and thoroughly address this feedback aiming at providing a much better learning experience. It is worth noting that the same course in Copenhagen receives a much better evaluation. This indicates that the negative evaluation in Aalborg is mainly due to implementation, and not due to a systemic element or to the learning goals of the course, or how it fits into the education as a whole